



CITY OF TWIN FALLS
IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE

324 Hansen Street E

Public Meeting: March 27, 2008 11:30 AM

John Bonnett	Gary Burkett	Kent Collins Vice-Chairman	Glenda Dwight	Jeff Gooding	Tony Hughes Chairman	Bonnie Lezamiz	Dust Tenney	Doug Vollmer
-----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Bonnett	Collins	Humble	Tom Pippin
Burkett		Fields	
Dwight		Clark	
Gooding		Wonderlich	
Hughes		Jones	
Lezamiz		Weeks	
Tenney			
Vollmer			

AGENDA & MINUTES

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

II. INTRODUCTIONS

Introductions of committee members, City staff, and development impact fee study team

III. SELECTION OF COMMITTEE OFFICERS

The committee will elect a chairman and vice-chairman

IV. IMPACT FEE BACKGROUND PRESENTATION, PART 1

Discussion of definitions, history, legal requirements, and policy issues relating to development impact fees

V. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COMMITTEE

Discussion of the committee's part in the development impact fee adoption process

VI. IMPACT FEE BACKGROUND PRESENTATION, PART 2

Practical example of how to calculate development impact fees

VII. DISTRIBUTION OF DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT

The *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report will be distributed to the committee along with a brief explanation of the report and direction to read and review it for the next meeting

VIII. NEXT STEPS

The process will be established and future meeting dates will be scheduled

IX. QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

X. ADJOURN MEETING



I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Community Development Director Humble called the meeting to order at 11:42 am.

II. INTRODUCTIONS

Community Development Director Humble introduced Tom Pippin the consultant that was presenting information to the Committee regarding impact fees. He asked the members present to introduce themselves to each other and stated that after the introductions the next order of business for the Committee will be to select officers.

III. SELECTION OF COMMITTEE OFFICERS

Committee Member D. Vollmer motioned to have Tony Hughes as the Committee Chairman. The motion was seconded by John Bonnett. The verbal vote confirmed the election of Tony Hughes as the Chairman by a vote of 8-0.

Committee Member D. Vollmer motioned to have Kent Collins as the Committee Vice-Chairman. The motion was seconded by John Bonnett. The verbal vote confirmed the election of Kent Collins as the Vice-Chairman by a vote of 8-0

IV. IMPACT FEE BACKGROUND PRESENTATION, PART 1

Mr. Pippin reviewed the history of company and stated the rolls of the two other consultants that he has been working with on the impact fee study for the City of Twin Falls. The first of the two consultants is Anne Westcott her roll in this process is to assist in the Capital Improvement Plan process and the second of the two consultants JoAnn Butler's roll is to verify compliance, prepare the draft ordinance and to review the legalities of the studies findings. Mr. Pippin also explained that he has been doing this type of consulting for 19 years and his background is economics.

Mr. Pippin reviewed the presentation packet that he gave to the committee members regarding impact fees.

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS:

It was asked by a committee member how long the impact fee law has been in affect for the state of Idaho.

Mr. Pippin stated that the Idaho Law has been in affect since 1992 and that it has just recently become more common. The statute defines the allowances for impact fees and states the an Impact Fee Committee must be established and that they must meet at least once a year.

Three Keys that are reviewed for an impact fee are:

- Is the fee due to growth
- Is the Capital Improvement Project for things that will last more than 10 years (per Idaho Code)
- Are the fees fair

It was asked by a committee member if the impact fee process takes away from the staff's ability to exact and negotiate things that the code has already determined as negotiable. He also asked how the cost is shared is it by development or is it across the board.

Mr. Pippin explained that it doesn't take away the staff's ability to negotiate but when fees are determined those items have to be deducted to prevent from "double dipping" and the dollar for dollar fees have to be credited. He then explained that how the cost is shared is determined in the review process and on a case by case determination. The study he has completed for Twin Falls has recommended spreading the cost city wide.



V. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COMMITTEE

Mr. Pippin reviewed the presentation packet that he gave to the committee members regarding their roll as a committee. He stated that if the City Council elects to implement impact fees the committee must meet at least once a year.

Two Keys that are part of the committees roll are:

Auditing reviewing what has been collected and what the money has been spent on.

Fee review to allow for increases in the fees to account for inflation.

He stated that once the committee has reviewed the study and had determined what their recommendations will be regarding impact fees this information will be presented to the City Council for a final decision. This committee does not have veto power nor is a unanimous vote required to move forward; this committee is simply an advisory committee.

VI. IMPACT FEE BACKGROUND PRESENTATION, PART 2

Mr. Pippin reviewed the legal checklist on impact fees and explained with examples how the mathematical calculations are done to determine impact fees.

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS:

It was asked by a committee member if adjustments are made such as grandfathering in developments that have begun the platting process when initiating the impact fees.

Mr. Pippin explained that most Cities don't choose to lower the fee or exempt currently platted and undeveloped lots from the impact fee because they don't want to loose the revenue and be responsible for the difference when it is needed.

VII. DISTRIBUTION OF DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT

Mr. Pippin distributed the City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study for the committee to review for the next meet. He asked at this point if the committee would like to schedule the next meeting and if they prefer several short meetings or a few longer meetings. It was determined that the committee liked Thursdays and approximately 2 hours would be sufficient.

The next meeting is scheduled for April 17, 2008 from 11: 30 -1:30 at the Parks & Recreation Building located at 136 Maxwell Avenue.

IX. QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

The Committee had no questions at this time.

X. ADJOURN MEETING

Meeting was adjourned at 1: 20 P.M.



CITY OF TWIN FALLS
IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE
324 Hansen Street E
Public Meeting: May 8, 2008 11:30 AM

John Bonnett Gary Burkett Kent Collins
Glenda Dwight Jeff Gooding Tony Hughes
Bonnie Lezamiz Dust Tenney Doug Vollmer

Vice-Chairman Chairman

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Bonnett	Dwight	Courtney	Tom Pippin
Burkett	Vollmer	Bowyer	
Collins		Humble	
Gooding		Fields	
Hughes		Weeks	
Lezamiz		Jones	
Tenney		Barns	

AGENDA & MINUTES

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MARCH 27, 2008 MEETING
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT

The Committee will discuss the *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report and may make recommendations on its approval.

- IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING
- V. ADJOURN

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE APRIL 17, 2008 MEETING
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT

MINUTES WERE APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman Hughes turned the floor over to Mr. Pippin. He explained the level of service. He stated our current level of service is at a 5 minute and 30 second response time. If there is a desire to improve the response time impact fee money cannot be used for that improvement.

Fire Department

The report shows what is needed to buy or build to maintain the 5 minute 30 second level of service. The impact fee is designed to recover the monies needed to accomplish this as the city grows.

Parks & Recreation

The unique and special parks around the City were not included in the calculation for the level of service. Things that the City leased are not included. As the City grows the report shows that some other large urban parks will be built and developed with the growth. The CIP will show how much growth related park the City could buy over 10 years. He stated that the committee may decide that they don't want to have a 13 acre level of service.



Streets

Unlike other departments this section only shows the CIP. The streets section is difficult to get apples to apples comparison. The CIP is only for lights and things that can't be exacted which is only a small portion of the City's current investment. The streets that are listed average an "A" except for Blue Lakes Boulevard which is a "D". If you look at the growth portion you will notice that the roads are either 20 or 50% because you are going to be fixing and adding. The impact fee would pay for the adding not the improving. Streets calculations include trip generation rather than square footage for the CIP.

City Engineer Fields stated that when we started work we weren't far along in the master transportation plan the level of service may drop but the transportation master plan is further along. They are trying to give us a level of service that is reasonable because the full list is too expensive. The changes will be made when the master plan is complete. The cost is too much for the City to maintain the A level of service. Changing the level to C you lower the city's share of cost and the impact fee.

Chairman Hughes stated he would like to see the plans for A level, B level and C level exhibits to know how it impacts the funding and impact fee. Peak traffic is calculated from 5-7 PM and a trip is defined as crossing the drive ways. In review you have a choice between lowering the level of service which reduces the contribution the City makes and reduces the impact fee or you can choose to maintain the level of service.

Definitions

Discretionary = the upgrades or development that the City does or doesn't have to contribute (non-growth money)

Required Amount= is because of growth and that the City has to contribute and the impact fee contributes.

Non-growth money-Discretionary

Growth Money-Required

The committee asked for a copy of the list from ADA County and other cities that have broken down the charges further.

Break down for retail cost

Urban Land Institute ---dollars and cents of shopping centers can also be looked at in considering fees.

Impact Fee:

The Committee has asked that the report show the impact fee break down by:

City Contribution

Developers Contribution

IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is May 29, 2008 from 11:30-1:30

V. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:40



CITY OF TWIN FALLS
IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE

324 Hansen Street E

Public Meeting: May 29, 2008 11:30 AM

John Bonnett	Gary Burkett	Kent Collins Vice-Chairman	Glenda Dwight	Jeff Gooding	Tony Hughes Chairman	Bonnie Lezamiz	Dust Tenney	Doug Vollmer
-----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Bonnett	Dwight	Courtney	None
Burkett	Lezamiz	Bowyer	
Collins		Humble	
Gooding		Fields	
Hughes		Weeks	
Tenney		Jones	
Vollmer		Barns	
		Hicks	
		Munn	
		Evans	

AGENDA & MINUTES

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MAY 8, 2008 MEETING
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT
The Committee will discuss the *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report and may make recommendations on its approval.
- IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING
- V. ADJOURN

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
Chairman Hughes called the meeting to order at 11:40pm.
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE MAY 8, 2008 MEETING
MINUTES WERE APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT
A. POLICE DEPARTMENT CIP DISCUSSION

The majority of the discussion was related to the communication facilities and a new police station.

Finance Director Evans stated that the calculation within the proposed CIP is the equivalent to a place holder within the report. The growth of the City will need to be considered in the CIP calculations and whether or not that would include an entirely new police station or a renovation/expansion of what is already available that is uncertain at this time. The discussion is still on the table regarding the plans for the projected growth of the City and how to accommodate the need for new staff and bigger headquarters.

Chairman Hughes asked the committee if they had any concerns with the CIP as it stands and the general consensus was not at this time.



B. FIRE DEPARTMENT CIP DISCUSSION

The majority of the discussion was related to the need for relocating a fire station, and the communications facility.

Community Development Director Humble explained that there will eventually be a need for a new fire station most likely located in the northeast area of town which in turn would then require the fire station located currently on Falls Avenue to be moved to a more northwesterly location. This would allow for the level of service to continue while spreading the stations out a little further apart.

The communications center is the item that most concerned the committee; with regards to cost and have asked to see a more concrete CIP number. The comparisons between expansion/new and what direction the City thinks it will take.

C. PARKS & RECREATION DISCUSSION

The majority of the discussion was related to the level of service and the neighborhood & pocket parks.

Community Development Director Humble stated at he has done some calculations of what is in this report and what he thinks would give the committee a more accurate view of what the true level of service is for the City. The report is calculated by the strict interpretation of the state requirements. If you calculate it differently the level of service is probably closer to 6.48 acres of land per 1000 people.

The topic discussed at this point was the Parks Dedication Ordinance and the thought that it may be a form of double dipping if the neighborhood and pocket parks are included in the CIP. The exactions for the parks now are charged to the developer which in turn is charged to the person that eventually purchases the lot and develops the lot. By including the neighborhood parks in the CIP it may be that the City is exacting money or land as well as collecting fees for improvements because of growth. The committee felt that this statement was fairly accurate and that there may be a need to remove the neighborhood parks from the CIP. After some discussion a motion was made by John Bonnett and seconded by Collins to recommend removing the neighborhood & pocket parks from the CIP and leave only the Community Parks in the CIP. Motion passed unanimously.

IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is June 17, 2008 from 11:30-1:30

V. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:40



CITY OF TWIN FALLS
IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE

324 Hansen Street E

Public Meeting: June 17, 2008 11:30 AM

John Bonnett	Gary Burkett	Kent Collins Vice-Chairman	Glenda Dwight	Jeff Gooding	Tony Hughes Chairman	Bonnie Lezamiz	Dust Tenney	Doug Vollmer
-----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Bonnett	Vollmer	Courtney	None
Collins	Burkett	Bowyer	
Gooding		Humble	
Hughes		Fields	
Lezamiz		Evans	
Tenney		Jones	
		Weeks	

AGENDA & MINUTES

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE [MAY 29, 2008](#) MEETING
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT
The Committee will discuss the *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report and may make recommendations on its approval.
- IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING
- V. ADJOURN

- I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
Chairman Hughes called the meeting to order at 11:40pm.
- II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE [May 28, 2008](#) MEETING
[MINUTES WERE APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY](#)
- III. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT IMPACT FEE REPORT

Community Development Director Humble stated that since the previous meeting he has spoken to the consultant regarding the acceptance of the draft impact fee report and the recommended changes suggested at the last meeting. Mr. Pippin stated that if the committee would like to make recommendations to change thing in the report the first step would be to approve the draft and add conditions so that the changes are attached to the document. As the meeting today progresses if there are recommended changes then they will become an attachment to the approved report. At the end of the discussion the minutes will document the recommendations with a tally of votes.

A. STREETS

The majority of the discussion was related to the projects listed on the CIP. City Engineer Fields stated that from the beginning the CIP projects listed were not based on the Master Transportation Plan because it was in its beginning stages. The Master Transportation Plan now has a draft of recommendations and shows how the streets and the level of service will be impacted by completing or not completing projects over the next 20 years. The concern that comes to mind is that the CIP is based on a 10 year plan and the Master Transportation Plan is based on a 20 years. This may pose a problem with funding projects that are not on the list to be completed prior to 2030 and the



committee may want to consider reviewing the projects on the CIP to determine if the list is within reason and if there are projects that may need to be added or deleted. The biggest issue with a street projects is determining when the changes will be needed which is based on the growth of the community.

The Committee proceeded to review the Impact Fee Draft Report and the CIP recommendations. After much debate the discussion lead to Chairman Hughes asking the committee to review the CIP list and make recommendations.

The following recommendations came from the discussion:

Arterial Streets Projects: Recommended Projects to Remain on the CIP List

Eastland from Candleridge to Orchard	5-1 in favor
Falls Avenue Washington to Grandview	6-0 in favor
Falls Avenue Blue Lakes to Locust (addition)	6-0 in favor

Arterial Streets Projects: Recommended For Projects to Remove from the CIP List

Blue Lakes from Kimberly to Addison	6-0 in favor
Blue Lakes from Kimberly to Park & Subprojects	5-1 in favor
Filer Ave from Washington to Grandview	4-2 in favor
Filer Ave from Washington to Blue Lakes	4-2 in favor
Filer Ave from Locust to Eastland	4-2 in favor

Arterial Streets Projects: Recommended Projects Needing More Clarification & Discussion

- Falls Avenue from Locust to Eastland possibly Hankins Road (possibly add)
- Grandview from Poleline to North College Road
- Grandview from Falls Avenue to Filer Avenue (possibly add)

Traffic Signals were tabled for discussion until the next meeting.

IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is July 10, 2008 from 11:30-1:30

V. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:40



The following recommendations came from the discussion:

Arterial Streets Projects: Recommended Projects to Remain on the CIP List

Eastland from Candleridge to Orchard 7-0 Favor

Arterial Streets Projects: Recommended For Projects to Remove from the CIP List

Falls Avenue from Locust to Hankins 7-0 Favor

Grandview Drive from Poleline to North College 6-1

Traffic Signals:

Grandview and Poleline to be 75% or \$300, 000.00

Grandview and Parkview to be 75% or \$300, 000.00

Include 5 signals to be funded by the impact fees and the master controller along with the list of 28 signals.

IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is August 14, 2008 from 11:30-1:30

V. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:40



The motion to amend the Streets CIP also included a statement of support for the many needed street improvements in the City. However, the Committee feels that they would like to wait to see what happens in the upcoming legislative session regarding the potential for other sources of transportation funding before including large street projects in the City's impact fee calculations. The Committee also expressed great support for the legislature's approval of a local option sales tax as an alternate and more appropriate source of transportation funding. They feel that a local option sales tax will give the City a much better ability to collect revenue from everyone who uses City streets and not just City residents.

B. POLICE

The Committee discussed the modifications to the Police CIP. The discussion was primarily focused on the cost for the communication center.

Motion 2:

Motion to accept the CIP for the police department. Motion passed 8-0

C. FIRE

The Committee discussed the modifications to the Fire CIP. The discussion was primarily focused on the timing of construction of station #5 and the relocation of station #2.

Motion 3:

Motion to accept the CIP for the fire department. Motion passed 8-0

IV. SCHEDULE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is **August 21, 2008** from 11:30-1:30

V. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:30



**CITY OF TWIN FALLS
 IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE
 324 Hansen Street E
 Public Meeting: August 21, 2008 11:30 AM**

John Bonnett	Gary Burkett	Kent Collins Vice-Chairman	Glenda Dwight	Jeff Gooding	Tony Hughes Chairman	Bonnie Lezamiz	Dust Tenney	Doug Vollmer
-----------------	-----------------	---	------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Bonnett	Dwight	Fields	None
Burkett		Humble	
Gooding		Jones	
Hughes		Weeks	
Lezamiz		Courtney	
Tenney		Evans	
Vollmer		Bowyer	

AGENDA & MINUTES

- I. **Call Meeting to Order**
- II. **Consideration of the Minutes from the August 14, 2008 Meeting**
- III. **Consideration of the Final Impact Fee Report**

The final draft of the *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report has been prepared with all of the Committee's previous amendments and is ready for the Committee's final recommendation to the City Council.

- IV. **Adjourn**

- I. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER**
- II. **CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE AUGUST 14, 2008 MEETING**
- III. **CONSIDERATION OF THE FINAL IMPACT FEE REPORT**

MINUTES WERE APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

A. PARKS

The majority of the discussion was related to the parks and recreation CIP. The concern was that the developers already pay on average \$600 per lot or dedicating land through exactions and the impact fee will add an additional \$900 amounting to a total of approximately \$1500 per lot going towards park fee. The Committee felt that this was out of proportion. After review the Committee decided to modify the Parks and Recreation CIP.

MOTION:

The motion was to amend the Community Parks CIP to:

1. change the "New Community Parks - to support growth" from 25 acres to 16.5 acres
2. change the "Rock Creek Canyon near Hatchery (developing 7 of 27 City owned acres)" from 100% growth to 0% growth

Motion passed 7-0



IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE –MINUTES

MAY 8, 2008

Page 2 of 2

The Parks and Recreation Department will review the calculations related to the costs for equipment and vehicles for the next meeting.

The Committee also requested that an appendix of the data be attached to the final report.

- The next committee meeting is scheduled for August 28, 2008 from 11:30-1:30

IV. **ADJOURN**

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:30 pm



CITY OF TWIN FALLS
IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE

324 Hansen Street E

Public Meeting: September 11, 2008 11:30 AM

John Bonnett	Gary Burkett	Kent Collins Vice-Chairman	Glenda Dwight	Jeff Gooding	Tony Hughes Chairman	Bonnie Lezamiz	Dusty Tenney	Doug Vollmer
-----------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------

ATTENDANCE

<u>Members Present</u>	<u>Members Absent</u>	<u>Staff Present</u>	<u>Consultants Present</u>
Hughes Collins Bonnett Gooding Lezamiz Tenney Vollmer		Fields Humble Jones Weeks Courtney Evans Bowyer Rothweiller	None

AGENDA & MINUTES

- I. Call Meeting to Order
- II. Consideration of the Minutes from the August 14, 2008 Meeting
- III. Consideration of the Final Impact Fee Report

The final draft of the *City of Twin Falls Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plans* report has been prepared with all of the Committee's previous amendments and is ready for the Committee's final recommendation to the City Council.

- IV. Adjourn

I. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Chairman Hughes called the meeting to order at 11:40pm.

II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES FROM THE AUGUST 21, 2008 MEETING

MINUTES WERE APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

III. CONSIDERATION OF THE FINAL IMPACT FEE REPORT

A. PARKS

The majority of the discussion was related to the parks and recreation CIP. The Parks and Recreations Director reviewed the items that were included in the CIP reevaluating the items that would impact the sum total of the CIP.

MOTION:

The motion was to accept the Parks CIP to:

Motion passed 7-0

B. Full Cost Recovery

The final discussion was a review of the estimated cost recovery if the CIP is approved as is recommended and motions were as follows:

Police

188 per unit

Motion Passed 7-0



IMPACT FEE COMMITTEE –MINUTES

MAY 8, 2008

Page 2 of 2

Fire 537 per unit Motion Passed 7-0

Streets 305 per residential unit Motion Passed 7-0

1.45 per commercial sq. ft.

Parks 520 (+/-) residential unit Motion Passes 7-0

Recommendation that this proposal be adopted 30 days after ordinance is published.

Motion Passed 5-2

Recommendation that the City Council try to seek other sources of funding for streets projects.

Motion Passed 7-0

IV. ADJOURN

Chairman Hughes adjourned the meeting at 1:30 pm